



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Ta

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

4.				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,641	07/20/2001	Perriann M. Holden	1827-U-01 CIP	2605
75	90 08/01/2002			
Charles E. Cates			EXAMINER	
Cates & Holloway P.O. 1532			HOEY, ALISSA L	
Scottsdale, AZ 85252-1532				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/910,641	HOLDEN, PERRIANN M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>1ay 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)	, ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	nry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 09/910,641

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

 New corrected drawings are required in this application because drawings must show all claimed subject matter including elbow and knee protective attachments.
 Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings.
 The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Innis (US 1,980,621).

Innis provides a protective attachment in the form of footwear to be worn on the feet to protect them from abrasion (lines 13-21). A flexible pad having top and bottom surfaces, an adhesive material on the top surface for removably securing the pad to a body part. However, Innis fails to teach a decoration applied to the protective attachment, the attachment being trimmable, a protective adhesive cover, a reusable adhesive and worn to protect other body parts.



Application/Control Number: 09/910,641

Art Unit: 3765

It would have been obvious to have provided the protective attachment with any decorative design as desired for aesthetics effect. It would have been further obvious to trim the protective attachment to create the desired size and shape needed in use and the attachment of Innis could have been applied anywhere on any body as desired for end use. It would have been obvious to have provided the adhesive layer with a cover portion and reusable adhesive, since the use of reusable adhesives and cover portions is well known in the adhesive art and as supported in Applicant's specification on page 5, lines 5-14.

4. Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Innis in view of McCarthy (US 2985970).

Innis provides a protective attachment as described above in claims 1 and 2. However, Innis fails to teach a protective shell on the outside of the pad. McCarthy provides a protective foot pad having a protective shell (column 2, lines 5-15).

It would have been obvious to have provided the protective shell of McCarthy to the protective attachment of Innis, since the protective shell provides a durable pad to be worn on a user's barefoot.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 5, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/910,641

Art Unit: 3765

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (703) 308-6094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0758 for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

alh July 31, 2002

JOHN J. CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700